

Markov chain approximations for symmetric jump processes

Ryad Hussein^{*} Moritz Kassmann^{†‡}

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Markov chain approximations of symmetric jump processes are investigated. Tightness results and a central limit theorem are established. Moreover, given the generator of a symmetric jump process with state space $\mathbb{R}^d$ the approximating Markov chains are constructed explicitly. As a byproduct we obtain a definition of the Sobolev space $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, that is equivalent to the standard one.

Keywords: jump processes, Markov chains, Lévy measure, central-limit theorem, approximation.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 60J75, 60F05, 60B10, 60J27, 60G52.

^{*}Research financed by DFG (German Science Foundation) through SFB 611

[†]Research partially supported by DFG (German Science Foundation) through SFB 611

[‡]corresponding author, email: *kassmann@iam.uni-bonn.de*

1 Introduction

Let $Y = (Y_t)_t$ be a time-continuous Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. It is a natural question whether the sequence (Y^n) of Markov chains defined by $Y_t^n = n^{-1}Y_{n^\alpha t}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, tends to some reasonable process for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The case $\alpha = 2$ is known as diffusive scaling and leads to a diffusion process under certain assumptions on $(Y_t)_t$, see the classical Donsker's Invariance Principle of [Don51] for the Brownian motion and chapter 11 of [SV79] for diffusion processes in non-divergence form. In the case of symmetric processes Stroock and Zheng derive in [SZ97] a central limit theorem for continuous-time symmetric Markov chains of bounded range. In a recent paper, Bass and Kumagai [BK06] remove the restriction of bounded range by replacing it by a second moment condition. In both publications, the generator of the limit object is of the form $Lu(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{x_i}(a_{i,j}(\cdot)\partial_{x_j})$ and a formula is provided how the diffusion coefficient functions $a_{i,j}(\cdot)$ can be computed from the conductivities of the chain (Y_t) . The other direction, i.e. constructing a sequence of approximating Markov chains for a given diffusion matrix is not less important and one of the main results of [SZ97].

The aim of this work is to prove results analogous to ones of [SZ97], [BK06] in the case where the limit object is a symmetric jump process with corresponding Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \text{diag}} (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))k(x, y) dx dy, \quad k(x, y) = k(y, x), \\ D(\mathcal{E}) &= \overline{C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\mathcal{E}_1}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{E}_1(f, f) = \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \|f\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and generator L given by

$$(1.2) \quad Lu(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y-x| \geq \varepsilon} (u(y) - u(x))k(x, y) dy.$$

Therefore, we study Markov chain approximations for a certain class of symmetric jump processes. In [SZ97], [BK06] the generator of the limit object is a uniformly elliptic operator. In our situation the equivalent concept of uniform ellipticity would be given by $k(x, y) \geq c|x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \forall |x - y| \leq r_0$ for some $c > 0$, $r_0 > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. One feature of our approach is that our central limit theorem allows for cases where such an estimate does not hold, i.e. the limit process may be a pure jump process which is anisotropic in some sense. The level of anisotropy is limited since our approach uses a-priori bounds for the modulus of continuity of the heat kernel. As discussed in [BBCK06] these bounds fail for very irregular jump measures.

There are several other contributions to the question how to approximate Hunt processes given by Dirichlet forms, see [MRZ98], [MRS00] and the references therein. However, our results are not covered by these works. We close the introduction by commenting on the differences between this work and [BK06], [SZ97].

- The limit object in [SZ97] and [BK06] is a diffusion whereas here it is a jump process.
- The main result of [BK06] is a central limit theorem. In addition to such a result we establish an approximation result for a given symmetric jump process. Theorem 2.3 should be contrasted with Theorem 3.9 from [SZ97].
- Our assumption (A5) differs from (A5) of [BK06] significantly. First, we do not assume continuity of the coefficients of the limit process. Second, we assume only L^1_{loc} -convergence of conductivities which is substantially less than uniform convergences on compacts.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present our assumptions and results. We provide a detailed discussion of the assumptions and some definitions and notation. Furthermore, an auxiliary result on equivalent norms on the Sobolev space $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is proved. Sections 3 and 4 provide the proofs of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.6 both of which are crucial to the proof of our main results. In section 5 we prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Theorem 2.3 is proved in section 6.

Acknowledgments: The authors express their gratitude to Professor Z.-Q. Chen and to Professor T. Kumagai for helpful comments on the presentation of the results.

2 Assumptions and results

We formulate our assumptions and results in section 2.1. In section 2.2 we provide a detailed discussion of the assumptions. Section 2.3 is devoted to a result on equivalent norms on $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. In section 2.4 we define and list various further objects that we deal with in this article.

We denote the counting measure by μ and the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by λ . In our context we also deal with the function spaces $L^2(\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, \rho^{-d}\mu)$ where $\rho > 0$. The scaling factor ρ^{-d} in front of μ is natural from a geometric point of view. Write $B^\rho(x, r) := B(x, r) \cap \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ for the r -ball around x in $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. We also use the notation $\mu^\rho = \rho^{-d}\mu$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we use the abbreviation $|x|_\infty = \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |x_i|$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $\lceil x \rceil$ instead of $\max\{l \in \mathbb{Z} : l \leq x\}$.

For a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $[x]_n$ the element of $n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfying $([x]_n)_i = n^{-1}\lceil nx_i \rceil$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.

2.1 Formulation of assumptions and results

Let $(C^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of *conductivity functions* $C^n: n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The following assumptions will be important.

(A1) $C^n(x, y) = C^n(y, x)$ and $C^n(x, x) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$.

(A2) There exists $\kappa_1 > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \neq y$

$$C^n(x, y) \leq \kappa_1 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}.$$

(A3) There exist $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa_2 > 0$ with the following property: For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $x \neq y$ there are elements $z_0^{(x,y)}, \dots, z_l^{(x,y)} \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $l \leq N_0$, $z_0^{(x,y)} = x$, $z_l^{(x,y)} = y$ satisfying

$$C(z_i^{(x,y)}, z_{i+1}^{(x,y)}) \geq \kappa_2 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad i = 0, \dots, l-1.$$

Moreover, for any pair $(\zeta, \xi) \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ the number of pairs $(x, y) \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\zeta = z_k^{(x,y)}$ and $\xi = z_{k+1}^{(x,y)}$ for a k is bounded by N_0 .

For given $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $x \neq y$ we call the ordered set $\{z_0^{(x,y)}, \dots, z_l^{(x,y)}\}$ above a *chain* and l the length of the chain. The above assumptions are essential for our approach. For a mere technical reason discussed below in detail we need an additional assumption:

(A4) There exist $\Theta_1 > 0$ and $\kappa_3 > 0$ such that for all $x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $r \geq \Theta_1 n^{-1}$

$$\mu(\{y \in B^n(x, r) : C^n(x, y) \geq \kappa_3 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}\}) \geq \frac{5}{6} \mu(B^n(x, r)).$$

It is important for our results that the constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, N_0, \Theta_1$ appearing in (A1) through (A4) do not depend on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We associate to C^n a symmetric discrete-time Markov chain $X^n = (X_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{P}^x(X_1^n = y) = \frac{C^n(x, y)}{\sum_{z \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} C^n(x, z)}.$$

Let $Y^n = (Y_t^n)_t$ be the symmetric time-continuous Markov chain that has the same jumps as (X_k^n) while its holding time in the point x is exponentially distributed with parameter $\sum_{z \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} C^n(x, z)$. Note that each Y^n starting in $x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ corresponds to a probability measure on $D([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of right-continuous paths in $\mathbb{R}^d$ having left limits, see [EK86], [Bil99] for properties of $D([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$. Our first result reads as follows:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $(C^n)_n$ be a sequence of conductivity functions satisfying (A1) through (A4). For $x_n \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $x_n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the laws of Y^n starting in x_n are tight in $D([0, t_0]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $t_0 > 0$.*

For a reformulation and the proof of this result see Theorem 5.1.

In order to establish a central limit theorem one needs to prescribe the behavior of C^n for n tending to infinity. For $x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ set $\mathfrak{Q}_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d [x_i, x_i + 1/n)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_n = \bigcup_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} \{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x)\}$.

(A5) There exists a measurable function $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ the functions $(x, y) \mapsto C^n([x]_n, [y]_n)$ converge in $L^1(K)$ to $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(B) There exist $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Lambda_2 > 0$ with the following property: For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n$ there are elements $\mathcal{P}_0^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_l^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n$, $l \leq M_0$ with $\mathcal{P}_0^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} = \mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{P}_l^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} = \mathcal{Q}$ and satisfying

$$\iint_{\mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} \times \mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}} k(x, y) \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} dx dy \geq \Lambda_2 \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x-y|^{-d-\alpha} dx dy \quad \forall j \leq l-1.$$

Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any pair $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \in \mathfrak{Q}_n \times \mathfrak{Q}_n$ the number of pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q}) \in \mathfrak{Q}_n \times \mathfrak{Q}_n$ such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}_k^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}$ for a k is bounded by M_0 .

Again, we call the ordered set $\{\mathcal{P}_0^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_l^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}\}$ above a *chain* and l the length of the chain. Although, to some extent, (B) is a continuous analog of (A3) it does not follow from (A3) and (A5). Such an implication could easily be achieved by adding an additional assumption. In order not to weaken Theorem 2.1 we prefer to work with (B) separately.

Here is our central limit theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $(C^n)_n$ be a sequence of conductivity functions satisfying (A1) through (A5) and (B). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the symmetric jump process associated to the regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ given in (1.1) and $\mathcal{N}$ the properly exceptional set. Then, for $x_n \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $x_n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{N}$ the laws of Y^n starting in x_n converge weakly in $D([0, t_0]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to the law of $\mathcal{X}$ starting in x .*

Remark: The assumptions (A3) and (B) are technically involved and cover anisotropic situations. In fact, (A3) and (B) are trivially satisfied in the isotropic case, i.e. if C^n

satisfies (A1), (A2), (A5) and $C^n(x, y) \geq c|x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|x - y| > n^{-1}K$ for some $K > 0, c > 0$. Even in this case our theorem is still interesting and new.

It is necessary to allow for some exceptional set in Theorem 2.2. However, due to results in [CK03] the set $\mathcal{N}$ can be removed or assumed to be empty in several situations. There is no need for an exceptional set in our third result, Theorem 2.3. As in Theorem 3.14 of [SZ97] we give an explicit construction of approximating Markov chains.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa_4 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \leq k(x, y) \leq \kappa_5 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \neq y$ with some positive constants $\kappa_4 \leq \kappa_5$. Define the conductivity functions $C^n: n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$C^n(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x - y|_\infty \leq n^{-1}, \\ n^{2d} \int_{\substack{|x-\xi|_\infty < n^{-1}/2 \\ |y-\zeta|_\infty < n^{-1}/2}} k(\xi, \zeta) d\xi d\zeta & \text{for } |x - y|_\infty \geq 2n^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the Hunt process corresponding to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ given by (1.1). Then the sequence of processes corresponding to C^n converges in the sense of Theorem 2.2 to $\mathcal{X}$ for any starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark: As becomes clear by the discussion below (A4) allows for quite general cases of sequences C^n . In addition to it, in light of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 3.3 it is very likely that (A4) can be dropped. This would imply the possibility to weaken the lower bound on k assumed in (2.2) substantially.

2.2 Discussion of assumptions

We illustrate assumptions (A1) through (A5) introduced in Section 2.1. First, let us look at (A1) through (A4). If, for a fixed scale $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C^n: n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies (A1) through (A4) then the same holds for the conductivity function $C: \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $C(x, y) = n^{-d-\alpha}C(n^{-1}x, n^{-1}y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with the same constants $d, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0$ where the chains in (A3) have to be scaled in an obvious way. Naturally, the interaction radius Θ_1 in (A4) is multiplied by n . Since C is the appropriate conductivity function corresponding to the process Y^n scaled on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the obvious ("α-stable") way, it is sufficient to understand (A1) through (A4) for a single conductivity function C on $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. In addition, the

main results of Section 3 and 4 are scale-invariant, i.e. the constants appearing are scale-invariant, and depend only on the constants in the assumptions. Therefore it again suffices to prove them for a fixed conductivity function.

(A3) and (A4) are stable under perturbations of the conductivity function near the diagonal. This reflects the fact that in our central limit theorem jumps smaller than a fixed $R > 0$ have no influence on the limit process. Let C and $\tilde{C}$ be conductivity functions such that there exists $R > 0$ with $\tilde{C}(x, y) = C(x, y)$ for $|x - y| \geq R$. If C satisfies one of the assumptions (A3), (A4) then the same assumption also holds for $\tilde{C}(x, y)$ with the same constants. On the other hand, if $C^n, \tilde{C}^n: n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are to sequences of conductivities with $\tilde{C}^n(x, y) = C^n(x, y)$ whenever $|x - y| \geq Rn^{-1}$ and if (C^n) satisfies (A5), then (A5) also holds for $(\tilde{C}^n)$ with the same limit function k .

(A1) implies the process to be symmetric while (A2) bounds $C(x, y)$ from above by the conductivities of a rotationally symmetric α -stable Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. (A2) gives in particular

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} C(x, y) < \infty.$$

(A3) is much more technical. It implies a certain kind of irreducibility of the associated Markov chain. Additionally, it takes into account the highly non-local nature of our objects. Roughly it says that every two points x, y can be connected by chaining together at the utmost N_0 jumps where the probability of each jump is bounded from below by a constant multiple of $|x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ while at the same time one has enough of these connecting jumps.

(A2) and (A3) imply together $|z_i^{(x,y)} - z_{i+1}^{(x,y)}| \leq (\kappa_1/\kappa_2)^{1/(d+\alpha)} |x - y|$. This leads us to the following necessary condition for (A2) and (A3).

Lemma 2.4 *Assume (A2) and (A3). Then there exist $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $\Theta_1 > 0$ and $\kappa_3 > 0$ depending only on $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0, d$ and α such that for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, r > \Theta_1$*

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(\{y \in B^1(x, r) : C(x, y) \geq \kappa_3 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}\}) \geq \gamma \mu(B^1(x, r)).$$

In particular, if the conductivities are stationary, i.e. $C(x, y) = \tilde{C}(x - y)$ then

$$\mu(\{h \in B^1(0, r) : \tilde{C}(h) \geq \kappa_3 |h|^{-d-\alpha}\}) \geq \gamma \mu(B^1(0, r)).$$

Proof: First notice that (A2) and (A3) imply the existence of $c_1 = c_1(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, d, \alpha, N_0) \geq 1$ such that for all $l \leq N_0, \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$|z_l^{(\xi, \zeta)} - \xi| \leq c_1 |\xi - \zeta|.$$

Assume r large enough and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $M = \{z_1^{(x,y)} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : y \in B^1(x, r/c_1)\} \subset B^1(x, r)$. By the second part of (A3)

$$(2.4) \quad \mu(M) \geq \lceil \mu(B^1(x, r/c_1)) / N_0 \rceil \geq \frac{c_2(d)}{c_1^d N_0} \mu(B^1(x, r)).$$

Next, set

$$\widetilde{M} = M \setminus B^1\left(x, \left(\frac{c_2}{2c_1^d N_0}\right)^{1/d} r\right).$$

Trivially, $\mu(\widetilde{M}) \geq c_3 \mu(B^1(x, r))$ where $c_3 = \frac{c_2}{4c_1^d N_0}$ depends on all constants that appeared so far. Assume $r \geq \left(\frac{c_2}{2c_1^d N_0}\right)^{-1/d}$ and $z \in \widetilde{M}$. Then there is $y \in B(x, r/c_1)$ with $z = z_1^{(x,y)} \in \widetilde{M}$ and

$$C(x, z_1^{(x,y)}) \geq \kappa_2 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \geq \kappa_2 c_1^{d+\alpha} r^{-d-\alpha} \geq \kappa_2 \left(\frac{c_2}{2N_0}\right)^{(d+\alpha)/d} |x - z_1^{(x,y)}|^{-d-\alpha}.$$

Setting $\kappa_3 = \kappa_2 \left(\frac{c_2}{2N_0}\right)^{(d+\alpha)/d}$ and $\gamma = c_3$ the set $\{y \in B^1(x, r) : C(x, y) \geq \kappa_3 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}\}$ contains $\widetilde{M}$ and satisfies (2.3). ■

Lemma 2.4 immediately implies that under our assumptions a second moment condition as in [BK06] cannot hold. But (2.3) is not sufficient neither for (A2) nor for (A3). Take for instance $d = 1$ and $C(x, y) = |x - y|^{-1-\alpha}$ if $x \neq y$, $x - y \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $C(x, y) = 0$ else. Then clearly (A3) is not satisfied.

Let us now provide some examples of conductivity functions satisfying our assumptions. If $C(x, y) |x - y|^{d+\alpha}$ stays bounded between two positive constants then C satisfies (A2), (A3) and (A4). Hence all cases of [BL02b] are covered by our conditions. In addition, our assumptions allow for cases where there are no jumps in the direction of certain cones.

Example 2.5 Let $V := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |x_2| \leq \gamma |x_1|\}$, $\gamma > 0$ be a double-cone in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Set

$$(2.5) \quad C(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_V(x - y) g(x, y) |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $x \neq y$ where $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [a, b]$ for some $0 < a < b$ is a measurable, symmetric function. Then these conductivities satisfy (A2) and (A3). If γ is large enough (A4) holds, too.

In fact, if $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ set $z^{xy} = (x_1 + \lceil (2 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil, x_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x - z^{xy} &= (\lceil (2 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil, 0) \in V, \\ |x - z^{xy}| &\leq (3 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z^{xy} - y &= (x_1 - y_1 + \lceil (2 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil, x_2 - y_2), \\ |z^{xy} - y| &\leq |x - y| + \sqrt{2} \lceil (2 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil \leq 2(4 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $z^{xy} - y \in V$ by

$$\gamma |x_1 - y_1 + \lceil (2 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil| \geq \gamma \lceil (1 + \gamma^{-1}) |x - y| \rceil \geq |x - y| \geq |x_2 - y_2|.$$

Example 2.6 Define C as in example 2.5 with γ large enough, say $\gamma > 7/8$, and $g \equiv 1$. Set $C^n(x, y) = n^{d+\alpha} C(nx, ny)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $(C^n)_n$ satisfies (A1) through (A5) with

$$(2.6) \quad k(x, y) = \mathbf{1}_V(x - y) |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad V := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_2| \leq \gamma |x_1|\}.$$

Note also the following counterexample:

Example 2.7 Set $V := \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ and $C(x, y) = \mathbf{1}_V(x - y) |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$. Then these conductivities do not satisfy (A2) and (A3) since the necessary condition of Lemma 2.4 does not hold.

Finally, let us give the most obvious example of a conductivity function C satisfying (A1) through (A5).

Example 2.8 Fix $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then the conductivity functions

$$C^n(x, y) = \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\frac{d+\alpha}{2})}{2^{1-\alpha} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$$

satisfy (A1) through (A5). The limit process $\mathcal{X}$ in the sense of Theorem 2.2 is the well-known rotationally invariant α -stable process. The properly exceptional set $\mathcal{N}$ is empty.

2.3 Equivalent norms on $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

So far we have concentrated on a discussion of (A1) through (A5). Let us now look at (B). Let $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ and $k(x, y) \leq \Lambda_1 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ for almost all (x, y) with $x \neq y$ and some $\Lambda_1 > 0$. In light of (A1), (A2) and (A5) this is the structure of kernels appearing in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. Under assumptions (A1) through (A5) there still can be large oscillations of C^n in the following sense. Fix two sequences of $x_n^i, y_n^i \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, with $|x_n^1 - x_n^2| \rightarrow 0$ and $|y_n^1 - y_n^2| \rightarrow 0$. Then chains connecting x_n^1 and y_n^1 can be very far apart from chains connecting x_n^2 and

y_n^2 , no matter how large n is. Assumption (B) guarantees that this phenomenon can be avoided by choosing appropriate chains. Having studied (A1) through (A3) it should be clear how to construct examples of kernels k satisfying (B). For instance, the kernel k constructed in example 2.6 satisfies (B).

Let us show that (B) is a natural assumption. Assuming (B) we show that $D(\mathcal{E})$ from (1.1) equals $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. (B) determines a class of equivalent norms on $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. One standard definition of this function space is

$$H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d); \|f\|_{H^{\alpha/2}} := \sqrt{\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f)} < \infty\}.$$

We show how it is possible to replace $|x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f)$ by some anisotropic kernel $k(x, y)$ without changing the function space. Such a result does not seem to be established in the literature on function spaces.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ and $k(x, y) \leq \Lambda_1 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ for almost all (x, y) with $x \neq y$ and some $\Lambda_1 > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Assume that k satisfies (B). Then there are two positive constants c_0, c_1 such that*

$$(2.7) \quad c_0 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f) \leq \mathcal{E}(f, f) \leq c_1 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f) \quad \forall f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, the regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of (1.1) satisfies under (B)

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = \overline{C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\mathcal{E}_1} = H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Proof: The second estimate in (2.7) follows trivially from the upper bound of k . In order to establish the first one note

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} dx dy$$

Denote by $z_{\mathcal{Q}}$ the center point of a given cube $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n$. For simplicity we assume that the length of each chain is equal to M_0 . Assumption (B) gets involved in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} dx dy \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n} (f(z_{\mathcal{O}}) - f(z_{\mathcal{Q}}))^2 \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{Q}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} dx dy \\ &\leq M_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n} \sum_{j=0}^{M_0-1} (f(z_{\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}) - f(z_{\mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}))^2 \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{Q}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{M_0}{\Lambda_2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \Omega_n} \sum_{j=0}^{M_0-1} (f(z_{\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}) - f(z_{\mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}))^2 \iint_{\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} \times \mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} k(x, y) dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{(M_0)^2}{\Lambda_2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \Omega_n} \max_{j=0, \dots, M_0-1} (f(z_{\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}) - f(z_{\mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}}))^2 \\
&\quad \times \iint_{\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})} \times \mathcal{P}_j^{(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Q})}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} k(x, y) dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{(M_0)^3}{\Lambda_2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \Omega_n} (f(z_{\mathcal{O}}) - f(z_{\mathcal{Q}}))^2 \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} k(x, y) dx dy \\
&= \frac{(M_0)^3}{\Lambda_2} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \text{diag}} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} k(x, y) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

■

2.4 Further definitions and notation

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a stochastic process and Ω a Borel set write $\tau(\Omega; \mathcal{X})$ resp. $\sigma(\Omega; \mathcal{X})$ for the first time the process exits resp. enters Ω where we omit $\mathcal{X}$ if there is no danger of confusion.

Let $X = (X_k)_k$ be the symmetric discrete-time Markov chain associated to C by (2.1). A symmetric time-continuous Markov chain $Y = (Y_t)_t$ having the same jumps as X can be constructed as follows: Take a family $(T_{x,j})_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of independent random variables, independent also of X , such that $T_{x,j}$ is exponentially distributed with parameter C_x and set $T_{x,0} \equiv 0$. Set $Y_t = X_n$ for $t \in [\sum_{j=0}^n T_{X_j,j}, \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} T_{X_j,j})$. Note that in [BL02b] the holding times of the time-continuous process are exponentially distributed with parameter 1 leading to different generators and Dirichlet forms. For more details on Markov chains we refer the reader to [Nor97]. (A2) and (A3) give uniform bounds on the expected holding times of Y . The process Y corresponds to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (f(x) - f(y))^2 C(x, y).$$

with domain $D(\mathcal{E}) = L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$. We derive properties of Y in the next section by comparing $(\mathcal{E}, L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu))$ to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu))$ of a rotational invariant α -stable process V in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (f(x) - f(y))^2 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2).$$

By (A2) $\mathcal{E}(f, f) \leq \kappa_1 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, f)$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$.

Define a family $(Y^\rho)_{\rho>0}$ of symmetric time-continuous Markov chains on $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ by $Y_t^\rho = \rho^{-1}Y_{\rho^\alpha t}$. Y^ρ corresponds to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, L^2(\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, \rho^{-d}\mu))$ defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{E}^\rho(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} (f(x) - f(y))^2 C^\rho(x, y) \rho^{-2d} \quad \text{with } C^\rho(x, y) = \rho^{d+\alpha} C(\rho x, \rho y).$$

Remark: Note that we abuse our own notation here. The above definition of the family $(C^\rho)_{\rho>0}$ does not correspond correctly to our sequence of conductivity functions $(C^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined in the introduction. To be precise: Given an arbitrary sequence $(C^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the sense of the introduction there might be no conductivity function $C: \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $C^\rho = C^n$ for $\forall \rho = n$. Nevertheless, we use C^ρ in the sense above and C^n in the sense of assumptions (A1) through (A5). This remark carries over to the definition on the family $(Y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We use the symbol Y^n for the time continuous process corresponding to the conductivity function C^n . That is, the family $(Y^\rho)_{\rho>0}$ is determined by a single conductivity function $C: \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ whereas the family $(Y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ depends on the whole sequence $(C^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Y^n corresponds to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^n, L^2(n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, n^{-d}\mu))$ defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{E}^n(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} (f(x) - f(y))^2 C^n(x, y) n^{-2d}.$$

Note that (A1) through (A4) are stable in the following sense. If one fixed conductivity function C satisfies (A1) ((A2), (A3) resp.) then the assumption holds true for the family $(C^\rho)_\rho$ with the constants independent of ρ . On the other hand, if (A4) is true for C the conclusion of (A4) holds for any C^ρ with the same γ and κ_3 whenever $r \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$.

Scaling as above implies a relation between the heat kernel p_{Y^ρ} of Y^ρ with respect to $\rho^{-d}\mu$ and the heat kernel p_Y of Y . Note that, by regarding the heat kernel of the scaled process with respect to $\rho^{-d}\mu$, $p_{Y^\rho}(t, x, y)$ is not anymore the probability that the process starting in x is at time t in y but ρ^{-d} times this probability. One has

$$(2.10) \quad p_{Y^\rho}(t, x, y) = \rho^d p_Y(\rho^\alpha t, \rho x, \rho y).$$

Let $Y^{\rho, \lambda}$ be the process Y^ρ with all jumps bigger than λ removed. $Y^{\rho, \lambda}$ corresponds to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\rho, \lambda}, L^2(\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, \rho^{-d}\mu))$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rho, \lambda}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 C^\rho(x, y) \rho^{-2d}.$$

Finally, let $V^{\rho,1}$ be the process associated to

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho,1}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq 1}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-2d}.$$

Let us finish this section with an overview over all processes which we have introduced so far:

$X = (X_k)$: time-discrete Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ corresponding to the conductivity function C .

$Y = (Y_t)$: time-continuous Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with the same jumps as (X_n) ; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}$.

$Y^\rho = (Y_t^\rho)$: scaled version of the process (Y_t) ; it corresponds to C^ρ ; its state space is $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}^\rho$.

$Y^n = (Y_t^n)$: time-continuous process corresponding to C^n ; its state space is $n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}^n$ defined in (2.9).

$\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_t)$: limit of Y^n for $n \rightarrow \infty$; its state space is $\mathbb{R}^d$; corresponds to Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ defined in (1.1).

$Y^{\rho,\lambda} = (Y_t^{\rho,\lambda})$: equals the process (Y_t^ρ) but with jumps greater than λ removed; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}^{\rho,\lambda}$.

$V = (V_t)$: rotational-invariant α -stable process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$.

$V^{\rho,\lambda} = (V_t^{\rho,\lambda})$: scaled version of the process V with jumps bigger than λ removed; its state space is $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$; its Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho,\lambda}$.

3 Upper bounds for exit times and the heat kernel

The aim of this section is to establish upper bounds on the heat kernel of the processes $Y^{\rho,\lambda}$ independent of $\rho \geq 1$. This is done in section 3.1. The results are then applied in section 3.2 in order to establish Theorem 3.6 which is the key ingredient needed to show tightness of the family $(Y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The techniques used in this section are borrowed from [CKS87], [BL02b], and [CK03].

3.1 Upper bounds on the heat kernel

The main tools in this section are techniques worked out in [CKS87]. Lemma 3.1 is new and, together with Lemma 2.4, we consider it important for the further development of anisotropic jump processes and Markov chains.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). Then there exist $c > 0$ depending only on N_0 , κ_2 and $\Theta_2 \geq 1$ depending on $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0, d, \alpha$ such that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$, $\rho > 0$, $\lambda > 0$*

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho, \lambda}(f, f) \leq c \mathcal{E}^{\rho, \lambda \Theta_2}(f, f) \quad \text{and in particular } \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\rho(f, f) \leq c \mathcal{E}^\rho(f, f).$$

Proof: Let $(z_l^{(x,y)})$ be the chains associated to C by (A3) now scaled on $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. Note that (A2) and (A3) together imply $|z_{l-1}^{(x,y)} - z_l^{(x,y)}| \leq \Theta_2|x-y|$ with $\Theta_2 = \Theta_2(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0, d, \alpha)$ for any chain in the sense of (A3), any pair (x, y) and any l . For notational convenience we assume the length of all chains to be equal to N_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho, \lambda}(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 |x-y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-2d} \\ &\leq N_0 \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} (f(z_{l-1}^{(x,y)}) - f(z_l^{(x,y)}))^2 |x-y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-2d} \\ &\leq N_0(\kappa_2)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} (f(z_{l-1}^{(x,y)}) - f(z_l^{(x,y)}))^2 C^\rho(z_{l-1}^{(x,y)}, z_l^{(x,y)}) \rho^{-2d} \\ &\leq N_0^2(\kappa_2)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} \rho^{-2d} \max_{l=1, \dots, N_0} \left\{ (f(z_{l-1}^{(x,y)}) - f(z_l^{(x,y)}))^2 C^\rho(z_{l-1}^{(x,y)}, z_l^{(x,y)}) \right\} \\ &\leq (N_0)^3(\kappa_2)^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\rho, \lambda \Theta_2}(f, f). \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we use the fact that every term of the sum on the left appears at least once in the sum on the right hand side. By the second part of (A3) this happens at most N_0 times. ■

Recall the following on-diagonal estimate for the truncated α -stable process on $\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ given in [BL02b]:

Proposition 3.2 *There exists $c > 0$ independent of $\rho \geq 1$ such that*

$$p_{V^{\rho,1}}(t, x, y) \leq \begin{cases} ct^{-d/\alpha} & \text{for } t \in (0, 1], \\ ct^{-d/2} & \text{for } t > 1, \end{cases}$$

i.e. in particular $p_{V^{\rho,1}}(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-d/\alpha}e^t$ for all $t > 0$.

These estimates lead almost directly to upper bounds for the heat kernel of $Y^{\rho,\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.3 *Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). Let Θ_2 be the constant arising in the statement of Lemma 3.1. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\rho \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq \Theta_2$*

$$p_{Y^{\rho,\lambda}}(t, x, y) \leq \begin{cases} ct^{-d/\alpha} & \text{for } t \leq 1, \\ ct^{-d/2} & \text{for } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Applying Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.9 of [CKS87] to the assertions of Proposition 3.2 one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2}^{2+2\alpha/d} &\leq c_1 (\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho,1}(f, f)) \|f\|_{L^1}^{2\alpha/d}, \\ \|f\|_{L^2}^{2+4/d} &\leq c_2 (\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho,1}(f, f)) \|f\|_{L^1}^{4/d}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\rho,1}(f, f)$ from above with the help of Lemma 3.1 and applying afterwards the converse parts of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.9 of [CKS87] the desired result follows. ■

In a similar fashion, one can use the scaling property (2.10), Lemma 3.1 and the upper bounds on the rotational alpha-stable process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see Proposition 4.2 in [BL02b]) to obtain directly upper bounds on the heat kernel of (Y_t^ρ) :

Lemma 3.4 *Assume (A2) and (A3). Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$p_{Y^\rho}(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-d/\alpha} \quad \forall t > 0, \forall x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

In fact, c only depends on d, α and the constants N_0 and κ_2 appearing in (A3).

Off-diagonal estimates on the heat kernels of the truncated process can be obtained by Davies' method, see for example §3 in [CKS87].

Lemma 3.5 *Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). For any $\lambda \geq \Theta_2$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\rho \geq 1$, $x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $t \in (0, 1]$*

$$p_{Y^{\rho,\lambda}}(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-d/\alpha} e^{-|x-y|}.$$

Proof: Fix $x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $x \neq y$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(f, f)(x) &= \sum_{\substack{y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \leq \lambda}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 C^\rho(x, y) \rho^{-d}, \\ \Lambda^2(\psi) &= \max \left\{ \|e^{-2\psi} \Gamma(e^\psi, e^\psi)\|_\infty, \|e^{2\psi} \Gamma(e^{-\psi}, e^{-\psi})\|_\infty \right\}, \\ E(t, x, y) &= \sup \left\{ |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| - t \Lambda(\psi)^2 : \Lambda(\psi) < \infty \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.28 of [CKS87] and Lemma 3.3 we get for $t \in (0, 1]$

$$p_{Y^{\rho, \lambda}}(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-d/\alpha} e^{-E(2t, x, y)} \leq ct^{-d/\alpha} e^{-|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| + 2t \Lambda(\psi)^2}$$

for every ψ with $\Lambda(\psi) < \infty$. Fix x, y . Take $\psi(\xi) = \langle \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}, \xi \rangle$. Then $\psi(x) - \psi(y) = |x - y|$. Also we have $|\psi(\xi) - \psi(\zeta)| \leq |\xi - \zeta|$. Now $|e^s - 1| \leq \lambda e^\lambda |s|$ for $|s| \leq \lambda$. By (A2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\mp 2\psi(\xi)} \Gamma(e^{\pm\psi}, e^{\pm\psi})(\xi) &= e^{\mp 2\psi(\xi)} \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |\xi - \zeta| \leq \lambda}} (e^{\pm\psi(\zeta)} - e^{\pm\psi(\xi)})^2 C^\rho(\zeta, \xi) \rho^{-d} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |\xi - \zeta| \leq \lambda}} (e^{\pm(\psi(\zeta) - \psi(\xi))} - 1)^2 C^\rho(\zeta, \xi) \rho^{-d} \\ &\leq \kappa_1 \lambda^2 e^{2\lambda} \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |\xi - \zeta| \leq \lambda}} |\xi - \zeta|^{2-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \leq c_4 \lambda^{4-\alpha} e^{2\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

where $c_4 > 0$ is independent of x and y . ■

3.2 Tightness

We use the upper bounds established in the previous section to estimate exit times. For this we proceed as in [CK03] or [BL02b] and use the truncated process $Y^{\rho, \lambda}$ together with a standard perturbation argument.

Theorem 3.6 *For any $a > 0$, $b \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(a, b, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0, d, \alpha)$ such that for all $R \geq 1$*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^x(\tau(B^1(x, aR); Y) < \gamma R^\alpha) &\leq b \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad \text{and, equivalently,} \\ \mathbb{P}^x(\tau(B^1(x, aR); Y^\rho) < \gamma R^\alpha) &\leq b \quad \forall x \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, \forall \rho \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Fix $\lambda \geq \Theta_2$. First, note that there exists by Lemma 3.5 a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $t \in [1/2, 1]$

$$p_{Y^{\rho,\lambda}}(t, x, y) \leq c_1 e^{-|x-y|}.$$

Therefore we estimate for $t \in [1/2, 1]$ and $r > 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_t^{\rho,\lambda} - x| \geq r) = \sum_{\substack{y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \geq r}} p_{Y^{\rho,\lambda}}(t, x, y) \rho^{-d} \leq c_1 \sum_{\substack{y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \geq r}} e^{-|x-y|} \rho^{-d} \leq c_2 e^{-r/2}.$$

With the help of (3.1) we can now estimate the probability that $Y^{\rho,\lambda}$ has left $B^\rho(x, r)$ before $t \leq 1/2$. Introduce the optional time $T_r := \inf \{t : |Y_t^{\rho,\lambda} - Y_0^{\rho,\lambda}| \geq r\}$, i.e. the first time the process has left the r -ball around its starting point. Then for all $t \leq 1/2$ we get by (3.1) and the strong Markov property:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |Y_s^{\rho,\lambda} - x| \geq r\right) &= \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t; |Y_1^{\rho,\lambda} - x| > \frac{r}{2}) + \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t; |Y_1^{\rho,\lambda} - Y_0^{\rho,\lambda}| \leq \frac{r}{2}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_1^{\rho,\lambda} - x| > \frac{r}{2}) + \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_1^{\rho,\lambda} - Y_s^{\rho,\lambda}| > \frac{r}{2}; T_r \in ds) \\ &\leq c_2 e^{-r/4} + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^x\left(\mathbb{P}^{Y_s^{\rho,\lambda}}(|Y_{1-s}^{\rho,\lambda} - Y_0^{\rho,\lambda}| > \frac{r}{2}; T_r \in ds)\right) \\ &\leq c_2 e^{-r/4} + c_2 e^{-r/4} \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t) \leq c_3 e^{-r/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have shown for all $t \in [0, 1/2]$ that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{P}^x\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |Y_s^{\rho,\lambda} - x| \geq r\right) \leq c_3 e^{-r/4}.$$

We will now pass over to the process (Y_t^ρ) by handling its large jumps as perturbations of $(Y_t^{\rho,\lambda})$ with standard techniques of perturbation theory for semigroups, see [Kat76] for the general case and [Lev72] for Markov semigroups. Let $\mathcal{A}^{(\rho,\lambda)}$ resp. $\mathcal{L}^{(\rho)}$ be the generator of $(Y_t^{\rho,\lambda})$ resp. (Y_t^ρ) and $Q_t^{(\rho,\lambda)}$ resp. $P_t^{(\rho)}$ be the corresponding semigroups. Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\rho)} = \mathcal{A}^{(\rho,\lambda)} + \mathcal{B}^{(\rho,\lambda)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\rho,\lambda)} f(x) = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| > \lambda}} (f(y) - f(x)) C^\rho(x, y) \rho^{-d}.$$

This is a bounded operator in $L^\infty(\rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d)$ since for bounded f by (A2)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}^{(\rho,\lambda)} f(x)| &\leq \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| > \lambda}} |f(y) - f(x)| C^\rho(x,y) \rho^{-d} \leq 2\kappa_1 \|f\|_\infty \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| > \lambda}} |x-y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \\ &\leq c_4 \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Define $S_0(t) = Q_t^{(\rho,\lambda)}$ and for $k > 0$ inductively

$$S_{k+1}(t) = \int_0^t S_k(t-s) \mathcal{B}^{(\rho,\lambda)} S_0(s) ds.$$

Then it is immediate by the contraction property of $Q_t^{(\rho,\lambda)}$ that $S_k(t)$ is again bounded in L^∞ with operator norm

$$\|S_k(t)\|_{\infty,\infty} \leq \frac{(c_4)^k t^k}{k!}.$$

Clearly $\sum_{k=0}^\infty S_k(t)$ is well-defined and equals the perturbed semigroup $P_t^{(\rho)}$. We have $\|P_t^{(\rho)} - Q_t^{(\rho,\lambda)}\|_{\infty,\infty} = \|\sum_{k=0}^\infty S_k(t)\|_{\infty,\infty} \leq c_4 t e^{c_4 t}$ and can therefore estimate for $t \in [1/2, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_t^\rho - x| \geq r) &= P_t^{(\rho)} \mathbb{1}_{B^{\rho}(x,r)^c} \leq Q_t^{(\rho,\lambda)} \mathbb{1}_{B^{\rho}(x,r)^c} + c_4 t e^{c_4 t} = \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_t^{\rho,\lambda} - x| \geq r) + c_4 t e^{c_4 t} \\ &\leq c_5 e^{-r/2} + c_5 t. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed as above. Set $T_r := \inf \{t : |Y_t^\rho - Y_0^\rho| \geq r\}$. Then for all $t \leq 1$ we get again by the strong Markov property:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |Y_s^\rho - x| \geq r\right) &= \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t; |Y_1^\rho - x| > \frac{r}{2}) + \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t; |Y_1^\rho - Y_0^\rho| \leq \frac{r}{2}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_1^\rho - x| > \frac{r}{2}) + \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^x(|Y_1^\rho - Y_s^\rho| > \frac{r}{2}; T_r \in ds) \\ &\leq c_5 e^{-r/4} + c_5 t + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^x\left(\mathbb{P}^{Y_s^\rho}(|Y_{1-s}^\rho - Y_0^\rho| > \frac{r}{2}; T_r \in ds)\right) \\ &\leq c_5 e^{-r/4} + c_5 t + (c_5 e^{-r/4} + c_5 t) \mathbb{P}^x(T_r \leq t) \leq c_6 e^{-r/4} + c_6 t. \end{aligned}$$

This translates by scaling into the following estimate for the process Y :

$$\mathbb{P}^x\left(\sup_{s \leq \rho^\alpha t} |Y_s - x| > \rho r\right) \leq c_6 e^{-r/4} + c_6 t.$$

Given a and b we choose $r > 0$ and $t < 1/2$ such that the left hand side is smaller than b and in addition $a/r \geq 1$. Now setting $\rho = aR/r$ proves our claim with $\gamma = a^\alpha t/r^\alpha$. $\blacksquare$

For later purposes, choose $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma(1, 1/2)$, i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{P}^x(\tau(B^1(x, r); Y) < \tilde{\gamma} r^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

4 Hitting time estimates and the regularity of the heat kernel

In this section we derive an equicontinuity result for the heat kernels of the processes Y^ρ . In our application it is essential that the constants appearing do not depend on the scaling parameter $\rho \geq 1$. Again, our presentation uses results from [BL02b] and [CK03]. Another option would be to adopt methods of [Kom95].

First observe the following Lévy system identity, cf. [CK03]:

Lemma 4.1 *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a bounded measurable function vanishing on the diagonal, i.e. $f(t, x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then for all $x \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and predictable stopping times T we have*

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\sum_{s \leq T} f(s, Y_{s-}^\rho, Y_s^\rho) \right] = \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} f(s, Y_s^\rho, y) C^\rho(Y_s^\rho, y) \rho^{-d} \right) ds \right].$$

Let $W^\rho = (W_t^\rho)_t$ be the space-time process on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ associated to Y^ρ , i.e. $W_t = (U_t, Y_t^\rho)$ where $U_t = U_0 + t$ is a deterministic process. We call a measurable function $u: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ space-time harmonic or caloric on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ if for all open relative compact sets $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, $(t, x) \in \Omega'$

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}^{(t, x)}(u(W_{\tau(\Omega'; W_s^\rho)}^\rho)).$$

Important examples for space-time harmonic functions are given by the heat kernel of Y^ρ :

Lemma 4.2 *Let $t_0 > 0$, $y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the function $u(t, x) = p_{Y^\rho}(t_0 - t, x, y)$ is space-time harmonic in $[0, t_0) \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$.*

The proof is exactly the same as in Lemma 4.5 of [CK03].

Define $Q^\rho(t, x, r) := [t, t + \tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha] \times B^\rho(x, r)$ where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is chosen such that (3.3) holds. We have the following estimate on the probability of hitting relatively large sets before exiting $Q^\rho(0, x, r)$:

Lemma 4.3 *Assume (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4). Then there exists a constant $c(\kappa_3, d, \alpha) > 0$ such that for any $r > \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$, $x \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and compact set $A \subset Q^\rho(0, x, r)$ with $\lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(A) \geq \frac{1}{3} \lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(Q^\rho(0, x, r))$*

$$\mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma(A; W_t^\rho) < \tau(Q^\rho(0, x, r); W_t^\rho)) \geq c.$$

Remark: More general, with the proof below and Θ_1, γ as in Lemma 2.4 we can get lower bounds on the probability of hitting sets $A \subset Q^\rho(0, x, r)$ with $\lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(A) \geq 2(1 - \gamma)\lambda \otimes \mu(Q^\rho(0, x, r))$ before exiting $Q^\rho(0, x, r)$ for $r \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$ only with assumptions (A1)–(A3). Unluckily, for technical reasons we need (A4) to prove our equicontinuity result.

Proof: Set $\tau_r = \tau(Q^\rho(0, x, r); W_t^\rho)$, $\sigma_A = \sigma(A; W_t^\rho)$ and $T = \sigma_A \wedge \tau_r$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma_A < \tau_r) \leq \frac{1}{4}$. For each $s \in [0, \infty)$ let A_s denote the projection of A on $\{s\} \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and let for $y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$N(y) = \left\{ z \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d : C(y, z) \leq \kappa_3 |y - z|^{-d-\alpha} \right\}.$$

Choosing $f(s, \xi, \zeta) = \mathbb{1}_{Q^\rho(0, x, r) \times A_s \setminus \{(y, y) : y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d\}}(\xi, \zeta)$ in the Lévy system formula implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma_A < \tau_r) &\geq \mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma_A < \tau_r; Y_{\sigma_A-} \neq Y_{\sigma_A}) = \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\sum_{s \leq T} \mathbb{1}_{Q^\rho(0, x, r) \times A_s}(Y_{s-}, Y_s) \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_{s-} \neq Y_s\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{z \in A_s} C^\rho(Y_s^\rho, z) \rho^{-d} \right) ds \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{z \in A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)} C^\rho(Y_s^\rho, z) \rho^{-d} \right) ds \right] \\ &\geq \kappa_3 \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{z \in A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)} |Y_s^\rho - z|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \right) ds \right] \\ &\geq 2^{-d-\alpha} \kappa_3 \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{z \in A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)} r^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \right) ds \right] \\ &\geq 2^{-d-\alpha} \kappa_3 r^{-d-\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^T \mu^\rho(A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)) ds \right] \\ &\geq 2^{-d-\alpha} \kappa_3 r^{-d-\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{(0, x)} \left[\int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)) ds ; \sigma_A \wedge \tau_r \geq \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{36} \cdot 2^{-d-\alpha} \kappa_3 r^{-d-\alpha} (\lambda \otimes \mu)(Q^\rho(0, x, r)) \mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma_A \wedge \tau_r \geq \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \\ &\geq c(\kappa_3, d, \alpha) \mathbb{P}^{(0, x)}(\sigma_A \wedge \tau_r \geq \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $(\lambda \otimes \mu)(Q^\rho(0, x, r)) \asymp r^{d+\alpha}$. For the second last step note that for every path with $\sigma_A \wedge \tau_r \geq \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} \lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(Q^\rho(0, x, r)) &\leq \lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(A) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)) ds + \int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(A_s \cap N(Y_s^\rho)) ds + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \otimes \mu^\rho(Q^\rho(0, x, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we get by (A4) and because of $r \geq \Theta_3 \rho^{-d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(A_s \cap N(Y_s^\rho)) ds &\leq \int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu(B^\rho(Y_s^\rho, r) \cap N(Y_s^\rho)) ds \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(B^\rho(Y_s^\rho, r)) ds \\ &= \frac{5}{36} \tilde{\gamma} r^\alpha \mu^\rho(B^\rho(x, r)) = \frac{5}{36} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \otimes \mu^\rho(Q^\rho(0, x, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha} \mu^\rho(A_s \setminus N(Y_s^\rho)) ds \geq \frac{1}{36} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \otimes \mu^\rho(Q^\rho(0, x, r)).$$

By our choice of $\tilde{\gamma}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}^{(0,x)}(\tau_r < \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \leq \mathbb{P}^x(\tau(B^\rho(x, r); Y^\rho) \leq \tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Finally we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{(0,x)}(\sigma_A \wedge \tau_r \geq \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}^{(0,x)}(\sigma_A \wedge \tau_r < \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \\ &\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}^{(0,x)}(\sigma_A < \tau_r) - \mathbb{P}^{(0,x)}(\tau_r < \frac{5}{6}\tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

■

We also need the following upper bound on the probability of exiting a ball by large jumps.

Lemma 4.4 *Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). Let $\Theta_1 \geq 1$ be the constant of Lemma 2.4. Then there exists a constant $c(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, N_0, d, \alpha) > 0$ such that for all $\rho \geq 1$, $s > 2r > \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$, $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$*

$$\mathbb{P}^{(t,x)}(W_{\tau(Q^\rho(t,x,r); W^\rho)}^\rho \notin Q(t, x, s)) \leq c \frac{r^\alpha}{s^\alpha}.$$

Proof: Let $\tau = \tau(B^\rho(x, r); Y^\rho)$. Observe that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x - \zeta|$ for $\xi \in B^\rho(x, r)$ and $\zeta \in B^\rho(x, s)^c$. Since the space-time process moves continuously in time, it can only exit $Q^\rho(t, x, s)$ and $Q^\rho(t, x, r)$ simultaneously by jumping in space. Using this fact together with the Lévy system identity for (Y_t^ρ) and (A2) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{(t,x)}(W_{\tau(Q^\rho(t,x,r); W^\rho)}^\rho \notin Q^\rho(t, x, s)) &= \mathbb{P}^x(Y_\tau^\rho \notin B^\rho(x, s); \tau \leq \tilde{\gamma}r^\alpha) \leq \mathbb{P}^x(Y_\tau^\rho \notin B^\rho(x, s)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^x \left[\sum_{t \leq \tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{t-}^\rho \in B^\rho(x, r), Y_t^\rho \notin B^\rho(x, s)\}} \right] = \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{|y-x| \geq s} C^\rho(Y_t^\rho, y) \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \sum_{|y-x| \geq s} \kappa_1 |Y_t^\rho - y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} dt \right] \leq \kappa_1 2^{d+\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\tau \sum_{|y-x| \geq s} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \right] \\ &\leq c_1 s^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it remains to estimate $\mathbb{E}^x(\tau)$. Let κ_3 and γ be the constants of Lemma 2.4. For each $\xi \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ define $A(\xi) = \{\zeta \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d : C^\rho(\xi, \zeta) \geq \kappa_3 |\xi - \zeta|^{-d-\alpha}\}$. We get by applying the Lévy system identity in the above fashion for $r \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \mathbb{P}^x(Y_\tau^\rho \notin B^\rho(x, r)) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[\sum_{t \leq \tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{t-}^\rho \in B(x, r), Y_t^\rho \notin B(x, r)\}} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{|y-x| \geq r} C^\rho(Y_t^\rho, y) \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \geq \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{|y-Y_t^\rho| \geq 2r} C^\rho(Y_t^\rho, y) \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{\substack{|y-Y_t^\rho| \geq 2r \\ y \in A(Y_t^\rho)}} C^\rho(Y_t^\rho, y) \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \geq \kappa_3 \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{\substack{|y-Y_t^\rho| \geq 2r \\ y \in A(Y_t^\rho)}} |Y_t^\rho - y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \\
&\geq \kappa_3 \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\sum_{\substack{2r \leq |y-Y_t^\rho| < 6r\gamma^{-1/d} \\ y \in A(Y_t^\rho)}} |Y_t^\rho - y|^{-d-\alpha} \rho^{-d} \right) dt \right] \\
&\geq \kappa_3 7^{-d-\alpha} \gamma^{1+d/\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \rho^{-d} \mu(A(Y_t^\rho) \cap B^\rho(Y_t^\rho, 6r\gamma^{-1/d}) \setminus B^\rho(Y_t^\rho, 2r)) dt \right] \\
&\geq c_1(\kappa_3, d, \alpha) \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau \rho^{-d} \mu(B^\rho(Y_t^\rho, 2r)) dt \right] \geq c_2(\kappa_3, d, \alpha) r^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the second last inequality is due to Lemma 2.4 since there are at least $2\mu(B^\rho(Y_t^\rho, 2r))$ elements of $A(Y_t^\rho)$ in $B^\rho(Y_t^\rho, 6r\gamma^{-1/d})$. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 4.5 *Assume (A1)-(A4). Then there exist constants $c > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ depending only on the constants appearing in (A1)-(A4) such that for all $R > \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$, $q: [0, \tilde{\gamma}16R] \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and space-time harmonic in $Q^\rho(0, x_0, 16R)$ the following a-priori continuity estimate holds:*

$$|q(s, x) - q(t, y)| \leq c \|q\|_\infty R^{-\beta} (|s - t|^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^\beta$$

for all $(s, x), (t, y) \in Q^\rho(0, x_0, R)$ with $|x - y| \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$.

Moreover, if $|x - y| \leq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$ we have

$$|q(s, x) - q(t, y)| \leq c \|q\|_\infty R^{-\beta} (|s - t|^{1/\alpha} + \Theta_1 \rho^{-1})^\beta.$$

Proof: The proof can be found in [BK06] or [CK03] for our case and in [BK05] or [HK06] for the “elliptic” case.

We may assume $\|q\|_\infty = 1/2$. Fix $(t, x) \in Q^\rho(0, x_0, R)$. Let $\xi \in (0, 1/2)$, $\eta > 0$, $Q_k = Q^\rho(t, x_0, \xi^k R)$, $\tau_k = \tau(Q_k; Z^\rho)$ and define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$m_k = \inf_{z \in Q_k} q(z), \quad M_k = \sup_{z \in Q_k} q(z).$$

We show that it is possible to choose constants ξ, ζ independent of $R > 0$, $x, x_0 \in Q^\rho(0, x_0, R)$ and q such that

$$(4.1) \quad M_k - m_k \leq \zeta^k$$

for all $k \geq 0$ with $\xi^k R \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$ where Θ_1 the constant in (A4). The restriction that x and y cannot be arbitrarily close is natural since hitting time estimates in the sense of Lemma 4.3 may not hold for small r . Just consider the conductivities $C(x, y) = |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| > R_0\}}$. Then the process (W^t) has only jumps with length bigger than R_0 and therefore can't hit sets $A \subset B(x_0, r)$ for $r < R_0$ starting in x_0 unless $x_0 \in A$.

Trivially, (4.1) holds for $k = 0$. Now assume that this equation holds already for all $i \leq k$ while still $\xi^{k+1} R \geq \Theta_1 \rho^{-1}$. We set

$$A_k = \left\{ z \in Q_{k+1} : q(z) \leq \frac{M_k + m_k}{2} \right\}.$$

Without loss of generality we might assume $\lambda \otimes \mu(A_k) / \lambda \otimes \mu(Q_{k+1}) \geq 1/2$. Else we just look at $1/2 - q$ instead of q . We choose a compact set $A'_k \subset A_k$ with $\lambda \otimes \mu(A'_k) / \lambda \otimes \mu(Q_{k+1}) \geq 1/3$ and define $T_k = T(A'_k; W^\rho)$. Observe that u is harmonic in $Q_k \subset Q^\rho(t, x, R) \subset Q^\rho(0, x_0, 16R)$. Therefore we get for arbitrary $z_1, z_2 \in Q_{k+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} q(z_1) - q(z_2) &= \mathbb{E}^{z_1} [q(W_{T_k \wedge \tau_{k+1}}^\rho)] - q(z_2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{z_1} [q(W_{T_k}^\rho) - q(z_2); T_k < \tau_{k+1}] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}^{z_1} [q(W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho) - q(z_2); T_k > \tau_{k+1} \text{ and } W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho \in Q_k] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}^{z_1} [q(W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho) - q(z_2); T_k > \tau_{k+1} \text{ and } W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho \in Q_{k-i} \setminus Q_{k-i+1}] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}^{z_1} [q(W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho) - q(z_2); T_k > \tau_{k+1} \text{ and } W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho \notin Q_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first term can be estimated by $\frac{1}{2}(M_k - m_k)\mathbb{P}^{z_1}(T_k > \tau_{k+1})$ while the second term is bounded from above by $(M_k - m_k)\mathbb{P}^{z_1}(T_k > \tau_{k+1}) = (M_k - m_k)(1 - \mathbb{P}^{z_1}(T_k < \tau_{k+1}))$. Moreover Lemma 4.3 implies the existence of $c_1 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{z_1}(T_k < \tau_{k+1}) \geq c_1$. For the remaining terms note that by Lemma 4.4 there exists $c_2 > 0$ with

$$\mathbb{P}^{z_1}(W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho \notin Q_i) \leq c_2 \xi^{(k+1-i)\alpha}$$

Summing up the terms above we this get for $\xi^\alpha \leq \zeta/2$

$$q(z_1) - q(z_2) \leq (M_k - m_k) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}^{z_1}(T_k < \tau_{k+1}) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (M_{k-i} - m_{k-i}) \mathbb{P}^{z_1}(W_{\tau_{k+1}}^\rho \notin Q_{k-i+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \frac{1}{4}c_1)\zeta^k - \frac{1}{4}c_1\zeta^k + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \zeta^{k-i}\xi^{\alpha i} \\
&\leq (1 - \frac{1}{4}c_1)\zeta^k - \frac{1}{4}c_1\zeta^k + c_2\zeta^k \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\xi^\alpha}{\zeta}\right)^i = (1 - \frac{1}{4}c_1)\zeta^k - \frac{1}{4}c_1\zeta^k + 2c_2\xi\zeta^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (4.1) follows from the equation above by taking $\zeta = 1 - c_1/4$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \wedge \left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha} \wedge \frac{c_1}{8c_2}$.

To derive Hölder continuity let $z_i = (s_i, x_i) \in Q^\rho(0, x_0, R)$, $i = 1, 2$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$ and $s_1 \leq s_2$. Assume $|x_1 - x_2| \geq \Theta_1\rho^{-1}$ and take k maximal such that $z_2 \in B^\rho(z_1, R\xi^k)$. Then

$$|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2| \leq (\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha} + 1)R\xi^k, \quad \xi^k R \geq \Theta_1\rho^{-1}, \quad |q(z_1) - q(z_2)| \leq \zeta^k.$$

Thus by optimality k is the smallest integer such that

$$k \geq (\log \xi)^{-1} (\log(|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|) - \log(\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha} + 1)R) - 1,$$

and we get

$$|q(z_1) - q(z_2)| \leq \zeta^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha} + 1)^{-\log \zeta / \log \xi} R^{-\log \zeta / \log \xi} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|)^{\log \zeta / \log \xi},$$

i.e. the proposition holds with $\beta = \log \zeta / \log \xi \in (0, 1)$ and $c = \zeta^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha} + 1)^{-\beta}$. If on the other hand $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \Theta_1(\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha} + 1)\rho^{-1}$ we take k maximal with $R\xi^k \geq \Theta_1\rho^{-1}$ and $z_2 \in B^\rho(z_1, R\xi^k)$. Then in particular $|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} \leq \tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha}R\xi^k$, and we get for k the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
k &\leq (\log \xi)^{-1} (\log(\Theta_1\rho^{-1}) - \log R), \\
k &\leq (\log \xi)^{-1} (\log(|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha}) - \log(\tilde{\gamma}^{1/\alpha}R))
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this we get with β as above

$$\begin{aligned}
|q(z_1) - q(z_2)| &\leq \zeta^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{-\beta/\alpha} + 1)R^{-\beta} (|s_1 - s_2|^{\beta/\alpha} + (\Theta_1\rho^{-1})^\beta) \\
&\leq 2\zeta^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{-\beta/\alpha} + 1)R^{-\beta} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + \Theta_1\rho^{-1})^\beta
\end{aligned}$$

■

In particular, this implies regularity of the heat kernels

Theorem 4.6 *There exist constants $c > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $x_1, x_2, y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $s_1, s_2 \geq [t_0, \infty)$ For $y \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |p_{Y^\rho}(s_1, x_1, y) - p_{Y^\rho}(s_2, x_2, y)| \\ & \leq ct_0^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2| \vee (\Theta_1 \rho^{-1}))^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

More general, for arbitrary $y_1, y_2 \in \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} & |p_{Y^\rho}(s_1, x_1, y_1) - p_{Y^\rho}(s_2, x_2, y_2)| \\ & \leq ct_0^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2| \vee (\Theta_1 \rho^{-1}) + |y_1 - y_2| \vee (\Theta_1 \rho^{-1}))^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Fix $t_0 > 0$. For an arbitrary $T_0 \geq t_0$ the function $q(t, x) = p(T_0 - t, x, y)$ is space-time harmonic on $[0, T_0/2) \times \rho^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ by Lemma 4.2 as well as bounded by $c_1 t_0^{-d/\alpha}$ by Lemma 3.4. Now take $R = (T_0/32\tilde{\gamma})^{1/\alpha}$ and $s_1, s_2 \in [0, \tilde{\gamma}R^\alpha)$. Assume first $\Theta_1 \rho^{-1} \leq |x_1 - x_2|$. If $|x_1 - x_2| \leq R$ we get by Proposition 4.5

$$\begin{aligned} |p_{Y^\rho}(T - s_1, x_1, y) - p_{Y^\rho}(T - s_2, x_2, y)| & \leq c_2 (T_0/32\tilde{\gamma})^{-\beta/\alpha} c_1 t_0^{-d/\alpha} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|)^\beta \\ & \leq c_3 t_0^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In the other case $|x_1 - x_2| > R$ we have $(|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|)^\beta \geq c_4 t_0^{\beta/\alpha}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |p_{Y^\rho}(T - s_1, x_1, y) - p_{Y^\rho}(T - s_2, x_2, y)| & \leq 2c_1 t_0^{-d/\alpha} \leq 2c_1 t_0^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} t_0^{\beta/\alpha} \\ & \leq c_5 t_0^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} (|s_1 - s_2|^{1/\alpha} + |x_1 - x_2|)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In the same fashion we can deal with the case $\Theta_1 \rho^{-1} \geq |x_1 - x_2|$. The other a-priori estimate asserted in the theorem now follows by symmetry of the heat kernels. $\blacksquare$

5 The central limit theorem

The aim of this section is to provide a proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Although the limit process $\mathcal{X}$ is a jump process the idea of the proof is very similar to the one in [SZ97] and [BK06]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y^n = (Y_t^n)_t$ be the time-continuous processes defined in the introduction and explained in section 2.4. Denote the corresponding semigroup by $(P_t^{(n)})_t$ and its kernel by $p^{(n)}(t, x, y)$. Recall that the Dirichlet form corresponding to Y^n is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)}(f, f) = \sum_{x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} (f(y) - f(x))^2 C^n(x, y) n^{-2d}.$$

We denote the restriction of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ by R_n . We also need to extend functions on the grid to continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in a (for our purpose) reasonable way: For $x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ set $\mathfrak{Q}_n(x) := \prod [x_i, x_i + 1/n)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_n = \bigcup_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} \{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x)\}$. The sets of $\mathfrak{Q}_n$ form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Recall that, for a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $[x]_n$ the element of $n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfying $([x]_n)_i = n^{-1}\lceil nx_i \rceil$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. For $f: n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $E_n f$ a Lipschitz-continuous function $E_n f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

- a) $(E_n f)(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$,
- b) $\underline{f}(x) \leq E_n f(x) \leq \overline{f}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\underline{f}(x) = \min_{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x) \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} f$; $\overline{f}(x) = \max_{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x) \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} f$,
- c) $|\nabla E_n f(x)| \leq cn \max \{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)| : \xi, \eta \in \overline{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x)} \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d\} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The precise choice of the function $E_n f$ is not important for our approach as long as E_n is a linear operator.

Let us emphasize that in the following result we adopt the notion $\mathbb{P}^x$ for the probability of a Markov process starting in x . Any starting point, together with a stochastic process with càdlàg paths, corresponds to a probability measure on $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$. For a Markov process $X = (X_t)$ starting in x we refer to this probability measure as "the law of X under $\mathbb{P}^x$ ".

The following theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $(C^n)_n$ be a sequence of conductivity functions satisfying (A1) through (A4) and $(x_n)_n$ a sequence of points $x_n \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x_n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then each subsequence (n') of (n) has a subsequence (n'') with the following properties:*

1. *For any $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the continuous functions $(E_{n''} P_t^{(n'')} R_{n''} f)$ converge uniformly on compact sets for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$. The limit defines a family of linear operators $(P_t)_{t>0}$ which extends to the semigroup on $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of a symmetric strong Markov process $\mathcal{X}$.*
2. *For any $t_0 > 0$ the laws of $(Y_t^{n''})_{t \in [0, t_0]}$ under $\mathbb{P}^{x_{n''}}$ converge weakly to the law of $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in [0, t_0]}$.*

Once these assertions are proved it remains to show that $\mathcal{X}$ does not depend on the choice of (n') . The proof of Theorem 5.1 makes use of the following sufficient condition for tightness:

Theorem 5.2 ([Ald78]) *Let (Y^n) be a sequence of stochastic processes with càdlàg paths, $t_0 > 0$. Assume:*

1. For all sequences σ_n of random variables with values in $[0, t_0]$ such that σ_n is a stopping time with respect to the natural filtration of Y^n , sequences $\delta_n \geq 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$ and $\eta > 0$ it holds that

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|Y_{\sigma_n + \delta_n}^n - Y_{\sigma_n}^n| > \eta) = 0.$$

2. Either (Y_0^n) and $\max_{t \in [0, t_0]} |Y_t^n - Y_{t-}^n|$ are tight or Y_t^n is tight for every $t \in [0, t_0]$.

Then the laws of $(Y^n)_n$ are tight in $D([0, t_0], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 2.1: Let (n') be a subsequence of (n) . Fix countable dense subsets (s_i) of $[0, \infty)$ and (f_j) of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

By $Q_t^{(n)} := E_n P_t^{(n)} R_n$ we define a positivity-preserving contraction semigroup $(Q_t^{(n)})_t$ on the Banach space $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, Theorem 4.6 yields that for all i, j the family of functions $(Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous. In fact, we have for $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|x - y| \geq n^{-1}\Theta_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{s_i}^{(n)} R_n(f_j)(x) - P_{s_i}^{(n)} R_n(f_j)(y)| &\leq \sum_{z \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} |p^{(n)}(s_i, x, z) - p^{(n)}(s_i, y, z)| |f_j(z)| n^{-d} \\ &\leq c s_i^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\text{supp}(f_j)) \|f_j\|_\infty |x - y|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$, $\Theta_1 > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ are independent of n and stem from Proposition 4.5. The construction of the extension operators E_n implies for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - y| \geq n^{-1}\Theta_3$

$$(5.2) \quad |Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j(x) - Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j(y)| \leq 2c s_i^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\text{supp}(f_j)) \|f_j\|_\infty |x - y|^\beta$$

In a similar fashion one establishes for $|x - y| \leq n^{-1}\Theta_1$

$$(5.3) \quad |Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j(x) - Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j(y)| \leq 2c \Theta_1 s_i^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\text{supp}(f_j)) \|f_j\|_\infty n^{-\beta}.$$

Furthermore $(Q_{s_i}^{(n)} f_j)$ is equibounded. The Theorem of Arzela-Ascoli and the passage to a diagonal sequence give us therefore a subsequence (n'') of (n') such that for all i, j the sequence $Q_{s_i}^{(n'')} f_j$ converges uniformly on compact sets for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$. Denote this limit function by $P_{s_i} f_j$. We use an $\varepsilon/3$ -argument to extend it for all positive times: Let $t \in (0, \infty)$ and take a subsequence (i') of (i) such that $s_{i'} \rightarrow t$ for $i' \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_{i'} \geq t/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_t^{(n'')} f_j(x) - Q_t^{(m'')} f_j(x)| &\leq |Q_t^{(n'')} f_j(x) - Q_{s_{i'}}^{(n'')} f_j(x)| + |Q_{s_{i'}}^{(n'')} f_j(x) - Q_{s_{i'}}^{(m'')} f_j(x)| \\ &\quad + |Q_t^{(m'')} f_j(x) - Q_{s_{i'}}^{(m'')} f_j(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side converges uniformly on compact sets to 0 for $n'', m'' \rightarrow \infty$. The other two terms can be handled again by Theorem 4.6:

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t^{(n)} R_n(f_j)(x) - P_{s_i}^{(n)} R_n(f_j)(x)| &\leq \sum_{z \in n^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^d} |p^{(n)}(t, x, z) - p^{(n)}(s_i, x, z)| |f_j(z)| n^{-d} \\ &\leq c_1 s_i^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} \lambda(\text{supp}(f_j)) \|f_j\|_\infty (|s_i - t|^{1/\alpha} + \Theta_3 n^{-1})^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side clearly converges to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the limit $P_t f_j$ exists uniformly on compact sets for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Finally by $\|Q_t^{(n'')} f_j\| \leq \|f_j\|$ and because (f_j) is dense in $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets we have established the desired convergence result for all $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It follows from the corresponding properties of the $Q_t^{(n)}$ that P_t is a positivity-preserving contraction semigroup on $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is hence associated to a symmetric strong Markov process $\mathcal{X}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Fix $t_0 > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We want to apply Theorem 5.2. Take an arbitrary sequence of stopping times $\tau_n \in [0, t_0]$, a sequence (δ_n) of reals converging to 0 and $a > 0$. By Theorem 3.6 for each choice $b \in (0, 1)$ there exist a constant $\gamma(a, b)$ with

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{P}^{x_n}(\tau(B(x_n, a); Y^{(n)}) \leq \gamma(a, b)) \leq b$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for all n large enough such that $\delta_n \leq \gamma(a, b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{x_n}(|Y_{\tau_n + \delta_n}^{(n)} - Y_{\tau_n}^{(n)}| > a) &= \mathbb{P}^{x_n}(|Y_{\delta_n}^{(n)} - Y_0^{(n)}| > a) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}^{x_n}(\tau(B(x_n, a); Y^{(n)}) \leq \delta_n) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}^{x_n}(\tau(B(x_n, a); Y^{(n)}) \leq \gamma(a, b)) \leq b \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows by the strong Markov property. (5.1) follows immediately. Moreover, $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies the tightness of the starting distributions while (5.4) implies the tightness of $\max_{t \in [0, t_0]} |Y_t^{(n)} - Y_{t-}^{(n)}|$, both under $\mathbb{P}^{x_n}$. The tightness of the laws of $(Y_t^{(n)})$ under $\mathbb{P}^{x_n}$ follows.

Finally we prove the asserted weak convergence for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ by showing that the finite dimensional distributions of the limit probability $\mathbb{Q}$ on $D([0, t_0], \mathbb{R}^d)$ of a weakly convergent subsequence (n''') are independent of the actual subsequence. For $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $t \in [0, t_0]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int g(\omega_t) d\mathbb{Q}(\omega) &= \lim_{n''' \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{x_{n'''}}(R_{n'''} g)(X_t^{(n''')}) = \lim_{n''' \rightarrow \infty} P_t^{(n''')}(R_{n'''} g)(x_{n'''}) \\ &= P_t g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the equicontinuity of the family $P_t^{(n''')} g$. Therefore the one-dimensional distributions are independent of (n''') . More generally let $0 \leq s_1 < \dots <$

$s_k \leq t_0$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then by the time-homogeneity of our Markov chains

$$\begin{aligned} \int g_1(\omega_{s_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot g_k(\omega_{s_k}) d\mathbb{Q}(\omega) &= \lim_{n''' \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{x_{n'''}}(g_1(X_{s_1}^{(n''')}) \cdot \dots \cdot g_k(X_{s_k}^{(n''')})) \\ &= \lim_{n''' \rightarrow \infty} (P_{s_1}^{(n''')}(g_1 P_{s_2-s_1}^{(n''')}(\dots P_{s_k-s_{k-1}}^{(n''')}g_k))) (x_{n'''}) \\ &= (P_{s_1}(g_1 P_{s_2-s_1}(\dots P_{s_k-s_{k-1}}g_k)))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality is again due to the equicontinuity. Hence the k -dimensional distributions of $\mathbb{Q}$ are independent of the choice of the subsequence (n''') and are determined by the semigroup (P_t) . Therefore we have weak convergence along (n'') . In particular, the stochastic process corresponding to $\mathbb{Q}$ has the same finite-dimensional distributions as $\mathcal{X}$ starting in x . $\blacksquare$

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Let (n') be any subsequence of (n) . Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a strong Markov process - possibly depending on the choice of (n') - and (n'') be a subsequence of (n') such that the assertions of Theorem 5.1 hold true. We aim to show that $\mathcal{X}$ does not depend on the choice of (n') . It suffices to show that the limiting process $\mathcal{X}$ corresponds to the Dirichlet form (1.1). This is the case if

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{E}(U_\lambda f, g) = (f, g) - \lambda(U_\lambda f, g)$$

for any $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $U_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (P_t f)(x) dt$, $\lambda > 0$. Note that, at this stage, U_λ does depend on the choice of (n') . Equality (5.5) implies

$$\mathcal{E}(U_\lambda f, g) + \lambda(U_\lambda f, g) = \mathcal{E}(G_\lambda f, g) + \lambda(G_\lambda f, g) \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where G_λ and $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ are independent of (n') . G_λ is then the L^2 -resolvent of $\mathcal{X}$ and we are done. Note that Theorem 2.9 implies

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We prove (5.5) by approximating each term by its discrete analog. On the discrete level

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(n)}(U_\lambda^{(n)} R_n(f), R_n(g)) = (R_n(f), R_n(g)) - \lambda(U_\lambda^{(n)} R_n(f), R_n(g))$$

where $U_\lambda^{(n)} h(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (P_t^{(n)} h)(x) dt$, $\lambda > 0$, denotes the resolvent of $(Z_t^{(n)})$.

Therefore fix $\lambda > 0$, $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and abbreviate $f_n = R_n(f)$, $g_n = R_n(g)$. Then $f_n, g_n \in L^2(n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d, n^{-1}\mu)$ with $\|f_n\| + \|g_n\| \leq c$ for all n . Recalling the definition of section

2.1 one sees that $\sum_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} f_n(x) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}(x)$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to f and $|(f_n, g_n) - (f, g)|$ converges to zero for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now by the compactness of the support of f and Theorem 4.6 we get equicontinuity for the family $(E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} R_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ analogous to (5.2) resp. (5.3). Together with $|[x]_n - x| \leq \sqrt{d}n^{-1}$ we get

$$|E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(x) - U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n([x]_n)| \leq cn^{-\beta}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, the functions $x \mapsto U_\lambda^{(n')} f_{n'}([x]_{n'})$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ converge along the subsequence (n'') uniformly on compact sets to $U_\lambda f$. Taking into account that g is compactly supported we get by dominated convergence

$$(5.7) \quad |(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, g_{n''}) - (U_\lambda f, g)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n'' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the right-hand side of (5.6) converges against the right-hand side of (5.5) for the subsequence $n'' \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains to determine the limit of the left-hand side of (5.6) for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$. We do this in several steps.

Step 1: $U_\lambda f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

This result probably follows from standard arguments of approximation theory. For the sake of completeness we give a detailed proof. First note that $U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n$ and $E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n$ form bounded sequences in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Set $F_n = E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n$. Then we aim to prove $\|F_n\|_{H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c$ with $c > 0$ independent of n . Define $V_n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : |z|_\infty < 2n^{-1}\}$. Moreover, let $z_1^{(n)}, \dots, z_{2^d}^{(n)}$ be the corners of $\Omega_n(0)$. We write

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(F_n(\xi) - F_n(\zeta))^2}{|\xi - \zeta|^{d+\alpha}} d\xi d\zeta &= \sum_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} \iint_{\Omega_n(x) \times V_n} \frac{(F_n(\xi + \eta) - F_n(\xi))^2}{|\eta|^{d+\alpha}} d\eta d\xi \\ &+ \sum_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} \iint_{\Omega_n(x) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus V_n)} (F_n(\xi + \eta) - F_n(\xi))^2 |\eta|^{-d-\alpha} d\eta d\xi =: (I_1) + (I_2) \end{aligned}$$

Let us first look at (I_1) . For $x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\xi \in \Omega_n(x)$, $\eta \in V_n$, by Taylor's formula

$$\frac{(F_n(\xi + \eta) - F_n(\xi))^2}{|\eta|^{d+\alpha}} \leq c_0 n^2 \sum_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in \overline{\Omega_n(x)} \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ \tilde{y} \in \overline{\Omega_n(x)} + \overline{V_n} \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d}} \frac{(F_n(\tilde{x}) - F_n(\tilde{y}))^2}{|\eta|^{d+\alpha-2}}$$

Furthermore,

$$\iint_{\Omega_n(x) \times V_n} |\eta|^{2-d-\alpha} d\eta d\xi \leq n^{-d} \int_{|\eta| \leq 2\sqrt{d}n^{-1}} |\eta|^{2-d-\alpha} d\eta \leq c_1 n^{-2+\alpha-d}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ only depends on α and d . Since $|\tilde{y} - \tilde{x}| \leq 4\sqrt{d}n^{-1}$ the first sum in (5.8) can be bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 n^{-2d} \sum_{x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{x} \in \overline{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x)} \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ \tilde{y} \in \overline{\mathfrak{Q}_n(x)} + V_n \cap n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ \tilde{x} \neq \tilde{y}}} (F_n(\tilde{x}) - F_n(\tilde{y}))^2 |\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{-d-\alpha} \\ \leq c_3 n^{-2d} \sum_{\substack{x, h \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ 0 < |h|_\infty \leq 6n^{-1}}} (F_n(x+h) - F_n(x))^2 |h|^{-d-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

As expected, (I_1) tends to zero for large n . In order to tackle (I_2) note that, for all $h, x \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\eta \in \mathfrak{Q}_n(x+h)$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{Q}_n(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_n(\eta) - F_n(\xi))^2 &\leq \max_{i,j=1,\dots,2^d} (F_n(x+h+z_i^{(n)}) - F_n(x+z_j^{(n)}))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j}^{2^d} (F_n(x+h+z_i^{(n)}) - F_n(x+z_j^{(n)}))^2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\mathfrak{Q}_n(h) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus V_n$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and any $\eta \in \mathfrak{Q}_n(h)$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, 2^d$

$$|\eta| \geq |\eta|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{2} |h + z_i^{(n)} - z_j^{(n)}|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} |h + z_i^{(n)} - z_j^{(n)}| \geq c_4 |h + z_i^{(n)} - z_j^{(n)}|,$$

where c_4 depends only on the dimension. Keeping in mind that the volume of $\mathfrak{Q}_n(x) \times \mathfrak{Q}_n(x+h)$ is n^{-2d} we estimate (I_2) in (5.8) from above by

$$\begin{aligned} c_4^{\alpha+d} n^{-2d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2^d} \sum_{\substack{x, h \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ h+z_i^{(n)}-z_j^{(n)} \neq 0}} (F_n(x+h+z_i^{(n)}) - F_n(x+z_j^{(n)}))^2 |h+z_i^{(n)}-z_j^{(n)}|^{-d-\alpha} \\ \leq c_5 n^{-2d} \sum_{\substack{x, h \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ h \neq 0}} (F_n(x+h) - F_n(x))^2 |h|^{-d-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain by (A3) and (5.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(\xi) - E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(\zeta))^2 |\xi - \zeta|^{-d-\alpha} d\xi d\zeta \\ \leq c_5 n^{-2d} \sum_{\substack{x, h \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ h \neq 0}} (U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(x+h) - U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(x))^2 |h|^{-d-\alpha} \\ \leq c_6 \mathcal{E}^{(n)}(U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n, U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n) = c_6 (U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n, f_n) - c_6 \lambda \|U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is bounded in n . We conclude that $E_n U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n$ is a bounded sequence in the Sobolev space $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In our situation this means that there is a subsequence (n''') of (n'') such that $E_{n'''} U_\lambda^{(n''')} f_{n'''} \rightharpoonup$ converges weakly in $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and strongly in $L^2(K)$ for $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact to an element $\tilde{F} \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $n''' \rightarrow \infty$. Since $E_{n''} U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''} \rightarrow U_\lambda f$ pointwise, $U_\lambda f = \tilde{F}$ almost everywhere. In particular $U_\lambda f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Step 2: Setting for $r \in (0, 1)$ $\mathcal{E}_r(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{|x-y| \geq r} (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))k(x, y) dx dy$ one observes

$$(5.9) \quad |\mathcal{E}_r(U_\lambda f, g) - \mathcal{E}(U_\lambda f, g)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Step 3: In analogy to Step 2 set

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{(n)}(f_n, g_n) = \frac{n^{-2d}}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y| \geq r}} (f_n(y) - f_n(x))(g_n(y) - g_n(x))C^n(x, y).$$

Then for any $r > 0$

$$(5.10) \quad |\mathcal{E}_r^{(n'')}(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, g_{n''}) - \mathcal{E}_r(U_\lambda f, g)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n'' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assertion (5.10) is easily established by estimating the difference for large enough n'' from above by

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{|x-y| \geq r/2} & \left| (U_\lambda^{(n'')} f([y]_{n''}) - U_\lambda^{(n'')} f([x]_{n''})) (g([y]_{n''}) - g([x]_{n''})) C^{n''}([x]_{n''}, [y]_{n''}) \right. \\ & \left. - (U_\lambda f(y) - U_\lambda f(x)) (g(y) - g(x)) k(x, y) \right| dx dy \end{aligned}$$

This term tends to zero for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ since $g([x]_{n''}) \rightarrow g(x)$ and $U_\lambda^{(n'')} f([x]_{n''}) \rightarrow U_\lambda f(x)$ uniformly on compacts for $n'' \rightarrow \infty$. Using (A5) we see that the integrand converges uniformly to 0. (5.10) follows by dominated convergence.

Step 4:

$$(5.11) \quad \sup_{n''} |\mathcal{E}^{(n'')}(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, g_{n''}) - \mathcal{E}_r^{(n'')}(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, g_{n''})| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall that both, f and g have compact support, i.e. the number of elements in $\text{supp}(g) \cap$

$n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ is of order n^2d . Using Cauchy-Schwartz and (A2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{\substack{|x-y|<r \\ x,y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d}} (U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(x) - U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(y)) (g_n(x) - g_n(y)) C^n(x, y) n^{-2d} \right|^2 \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{|x-y|<r \\ x,y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d}} (U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(x) - U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n(y))^2 C^n(x, y) n^{-2d} \right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{|x-y|<r \\ x,y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d}} (g_n(x) - g_n(y))^2 C^n(x, y) n^{-2d} \\
& \leq c |\mathcal{E}^{(n)}(U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n, U_\lambda^{(n)} f_n)| |\mathcal{E}_r(g_n, g_n)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us look at the above estimate for $n = n''$. Since $|\mathcal{E}^{(n'')}(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''})|$ and $|\mathcal{E}(g_{n''}, g_{n''})|$ are bounded uniformly in n''

$$\sup_{n''} |\mathcal{E}^{(n'')}(U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''}, U_\lambda^{(n'')} f_{n''})| |\mathcal{E}_r(g_{n''}, g_{n''})| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Step 4 is completed.

Finally, combining (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) by a standard chaining argument proves (5.5). ■

6 Approximation of jump processes by Markov chains

Here, we prove Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.3: Obviously, by the symmetry of k (A1) holds. The upper bounds on k imply for $x, y \in n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $|x - y|_\infty \geq 2n^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C^n(x, y) & \leq \kappa_5 n^{2d} \int_{\substack{|x-\xi|_\infty < n^{-1}/2 \\ |y-\zeta|_\infty < n^{-1}/2}} |\xi - \zeta|^{-d-\alpha} d\xi d\zeta \\
& \leq \kappa_5 (4d)^{(d+\alpha)/2} n^{2d} \int_{\substack{|x-\xi|_\infty < n^{-1}/2 \\ |y-\zeta|_\infty < n^{-1}/2}} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha} d\xi d\zeta \leq \kappa_5 (4d)^{(d+\alpha)/2} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

since $|\xi - \zeta| \geq |\xi - \zeta|_\infty \geq |x - y|_\infty - n^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{2}d^{-1/2}|x - y|$. In the same way one shows $C^n(x, y) \geq \kappa_4 (4d)^{-(d+\alpha)/2} |x - y|^{-d-\alpha}$ for $|x - y|_\infty \geq 2n^{-1}$. Therefore, (A2), (A3) and (A4) are satisfied.

Let $k_n(x, y) = C^n([x]_n, [y]_n)$. Fix a compact rectangular subset $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^{2d} [a_i, b_i]$, $a_i < b_i$ of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{diag}$ and define $\Omega_\varepsilon = \prod_{i=1}^{2d} [a_i - \varepsilon, b_i + \varepsilon]$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. In particular, there is $c_1 > 0$ with $\lambda(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Omega) < c_1 \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon < 1$. Then there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(\Omega, \text{diag}) > n_0^{-1}$. For all $n > 4n_0$ and $\varepsilon < (4n_0)^{-1}$ the functions k_n are uniformly bounded from above on Ω_ε by $c_2 > 0$. By the Theorem of Lusin, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ such that k restricted to K_ε is continuous while $\lambda(\Omega \setminus K_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, there exists a continuous function $k^\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with compact support such that $k^\varepsilon = k$ on K_ε , $\text{supp } k^\varepsilon \subset \Omega_\varepsilon$ and $\|k^\varepsilon\|_\infty < c_2$. We estimate

$$\|k - k^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |k - k^\varepsilon| = \int_{K_\varepsilon} |k - k^\varepsilon| + \int_{\Omega \setminus K_\varepsilon} |k - k^\varepsilon| \leq c_2 \varepsilon.$$

Define now $k_n^\varepsilon(x, y) = C_\varepsilon^n([x]_n, [y]_n)$ as above with k replaced by k^ε . Then, since k^ε is Riemann integrable with compact support, k_n^ε converges in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ to k^ε . Moreover, for $\varepsilon < (4n_0)^{-1}$, $n > \varepsilon^{-1}$ and by the definition of the conductivity functions

$$\|k_n^\varepsilon - k_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|k^\varepsilon - k\|_{L^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \|k^\varepsilon - k\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|k^\varepsilon - k\|_{L^1(\Omega_\varepsilon - \Omega)} \leq c_2(1 + c_1)\varepsilon$$

Putting all this together we get for n large enough

$$\|k - k_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|k - k^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|k^\varepsilon - k_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|k_n^\varepsilon - k_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq c_3 \varepsilon.$$

This directly yields (A5). Now Theorem 2.1 applies. ■

References

- [Ald78] D. Aldous. Stopping times and tightness. *Annals of Probability*, 6(2):335–340, 1978.
- [BBG00] M.T. Barlow, R.F. Bass, and C. Gui. The Liouville property and a conjecture of De Giorgi. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53:1007–1038, 2000.
- [Bil99] P. Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [BCK06] M.T. Barlow, R. F. Bass, Zh.-Q. Chen and M. Kassmann. Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes. *preprint*, see www.arxiv.org
- [BK05] R. F. Bass and M. Kassmann. Hölder continuity of harmonic functions with respect to operators of variable orders. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30:1249–1259, 2005.

- [BK06] R. F. Bass and T. Kumagai. Symmetric Markov chains on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with unbounded range. Trans. AMS, in press, 2006. See <http://www.ams.org/journals//tran/>.
- [BL02b] R. F. Bass and D. A. Levin. Transition probabilities for symmetric jump processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(7):2933–2953 (electronic), 2002.
- [CK03] Zh.-Q. Chen and T. Kumagai. Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d -sets. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 108(1):27–62, 2003.
- [CKS87] E. A. Carlen, S. Kusuoka, and D. W. Stroock. Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 23(2, suppl.):245–287, 1987.
- [Don51] M. D. Donsker. An invariance principle for certain probability limit theorems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1951(6):12, 1951.
- [EK86] St. N. Ethier and Th. G. Kurtz. *Markov processes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986. Characterization and convergence.
- [HK06] R. Hussein and M. Kassmann. Jump processes, $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions and continuity estimates. Preprint; <http://www.iam.uni-bonn.de/~kassmann/hussein-kassmann-cont-preprint-2.pdf>, 2006.
- [Kat76] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1976.
- [Kom95] T. Komatsu. Uniform estimates for fundamental solutions associated with non-local Dirichlet forms. *Osaka J. Math.*, 32(4): 833–860, 1995.
- [Lev72] T. Leviatan. Perturbation of Markov processes. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 10:309–325, 1972.
- [MRZ98] Ma, Z.-M., Röckner, M. and Zhang, T.-S. Approximation of arbitrary Dirichlet processes by Markov chains. *Ann. de l'Institut H. Poincaré (B) Prob. et Statistiques*, 34(1): 1-22, 1998.
- [MRS00] Ma, Z.-M., Röckner, M. and Sun, W. Approximation of Hunt processes by multivariate Poisson processes. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 63: 233-243, 2000.
- [Mos61] J. Moser. On Harnack's theorem for elliptic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14:577–591, 1961.

- [Nor97] J. R. Norris. *Markov Chains*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [SU] R. Schilling and T. Uemura. Dirichlet forms generated by pseudo differential operators: on the Feller property of the associated stochastic process. preprint.
- [SV79] D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan. *Multidimensional diffusion processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [SV04] R. Song and Z. Vondraček. Harnack inequality for some classes of Markov processes. *Math. Z.*, 246(1-2):177–202, 2004.
- [SZ97] D. W. Stroock and W. Zheng. Markov chain approximations to symmetric diffusions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Probab. Statist.*, 33:619–649, 1997.

Ryad Husseini

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik
 Universität Bonn
 Poppelsdorfer Allee 82
 D-53115 Bonn, Germany
 ryad@uni-bonn.de

Moritz Kassmann

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik
 Universität Bonn
 Beringstrasse 6
 D-53115 Bonn, Germany
 kassmann@iam.uni-bonn.de